UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,197	09/21/2006	Phillippe Dubois	VANM274.001APC	9583
20995 7590 10/20/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET			LU, C CAIXIA	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/567,197	DUBOIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Caixia Lu	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	lv 2008.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	/ <del></del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 33-44</u> is/are pending in the a	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 33-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34 and 44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-24,26-31,33,35 and 37-43</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,25 and 36</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dee the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/17/08</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/567,197 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-23, 33, and 43 in the reply filed on February 27, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Kwag et al. (US 7,151,146) is not prior to the priority date of December 23, 2005 of the instant application. This is correct, and claims 24-33 and 35-43 are rejoined with Group I under examination since those claims all share the technical feature of the carbon nanotubes supported catalyst for polymerization. However, the polymer claims 34 and 44 are still restricted since the claimed polymers do not necessarily contain the carbon nanotubes supported catalyst and those polymer can be any conventional olefin polymers such those prepared by metallocene catalyst which are well known in the art.
- 2. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 7, 25, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 7, 25, and 36 respectively, the catalyst limitations of "hindered amidoaryl chelates, hindered oxoaryl chelates, Fe (II and III) and Co (II) bis(imino)pyridines and Brookhart complexes based on Ni (II), Pd" lack definitions. The metal components are not provided for "hindered amidoaryl chelates" and "hindered oxoaryl chelates", and "Brookhart complex" is not a text book term and the definition of such is provided.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,197 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

In claim 25, the language of claim is confusing and awkward. The examiner suggests to replacing "A composition for performing the process according to claim 1 and comprising a catalyst system, the catalyst" with --The catalyst system according to claim 1, the catalyst --.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. The subject mater of claims 1-31, 33 and 35-43 are allowable since there is no prior art that teaches or reasonably suggests catalyst composition comprising the carbon nanotubes supported catalyst, the polymerization process in the presence of the catalyst, and the composition material comprising a polymer and the carbon nanotubes supported catalyst of the instant claims.
- 5. Applicants are urged to cancel the restricted claims 34 and 44 to place the rest of the pending claims (when free of informalities) in the condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,197 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Caixia Lu/ Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796